The Reaction of Chloromagnesium Bis(2,3,5,6-tetrachloro**phenyl)copper with Butyllithium**

Mohammed T. Rahman*

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, U.S.A.

(Received 19 August 1982. Accepted 29 September 1982)

Chloromagnesium bis(2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyl)copper, prepared from two equivalents of 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenylmagnesium chloride and one equivalent of copper(I) chloride in *THF,* reacts with one equivalent of butyllithium at $-78\degree C$ to give, subsequent to derivatisation with chlorotrimethylsilane and then with acetyl chloride, $(1$ -acetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyl)trimethylsilane (20%) , 1-(trimethylsilyl)-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene (17%) and 1-acetyl-2,3,5,6tetrachlorobenzene (34%) along with some trace products. The formation of these products is explained on the basis of the intermediate formation of chloromagnesium bis(1-1ithio-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyl)eopper, a functionalised cuprate reagent.

[Keyword8: Chloromagnesium Bis(1-1ithio-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyl)copper; Cuprate]

Die Reaktion von Chlormagnesium-bis (2,3,5,6-tetrachlorphenyl) kupfer mit Butyl*lithium*

Chlormagnesium-bis $(2,3,5,6$ -tetrachlorphenyl)kupfer, das aus zwei \ddot{A} quivalenten 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorphenylmagnesiumehlorid und einem Nquivalent Kupfer(I)chlorid in *THF* hergestellt wurde, ergab mit einem Aquivalent Butyllithium bei --78 °C nach Derivatisierung mit Chlortrimethylsilan und dann mit Acetylchlorid folgende Produkte: (1-Acetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorphenyl)trimethylsilan (20%), 1-(Trimethylsilyl)-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorbenzol (17%) und 1-Acetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorbenzol (34%) neben einigen weiteren Produkten in Spuren. Die Bildung der genannten Produkte wird mit Chlormagnesinm-bis(1-1ithio-2,3,5,6-tetrathlorphenyl)kupfer, einem.funktionalisierten Cuprat-Reagens, als intermediär gebildeter Verbindung erklärt.

^{*} Present address : Department of Chemistry, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-2, Bangladesh.

Introduction

Both organolithium¹ and organocopper² reagents have been extensively employed in synthesis for several decades. Lithium diorganocoppers, the *Gilman* reagents³ or their magnesium counterparts and the *Normant* reagents⁴ are capable of effecting many reactions with such stereo-, regio- and chemoselectivity as is unobtainable with the corresponding lithium or *Grignard* reagents. Similarly, organolithium reagents can undergo many reactions in which the *Gilman* or *Normant* reagents are totally ineffective. Nevertheless, all these reagents with exploitable differential reactivity are extremely useful in synthesis. We thought that perhaps a mixed dimetallic reagent containing both an organolithium and an organoeopper moiety in the same molecule would be of interest both from theoretical and synthetic points of view. We describe below our attempt to prepare one such reagent namely, chloromagnesium bis(1 -lithio-2,3,5,6-tetraehlorophenyl)cuprate*.

Results and Discussion

Pentachlorobenzene 5 was reacted with magnesium in *THF* to give $2,3,5,6$ -tetrachlorophenylmagnesium chloride⁷, **9**. This reagent was converted into chloromagnesium bis(2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyl)copper (10) by metathesizing it with one half equivalent of copper(I) chloride at 0°C (Scheme 1). The formation of 10 was indicated by its reac-

Scheme 1

tion 13-15 with acetyl chloride at 0 °C to give, subsequent to hydrolysis, 1-acetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene (1) in 57% yield.

The above euprate reagent (10) was reacted, without further isolation, with butyllithium at -78° to give a reagent or a mixture of reagents one of the constituents of which could be chloromagnesium

^{*} For the nomenclature of the *"ate"* complexes see Ref.a.

bis(1-1ithio-2,3,5,6-tetraehlorophenyl)copper (11). This reagent did not give a positive response to the *Gilman* test IS, indicating that in the reaction mixture there was no free organolithium function sufficiently accessible for a reaction with *Michler's* ketone. This copper reagent was then derivatised first with ehlorotrimethylsilane and then with aeetyl chloride. The compounds 1-8 (Table 1) were formed subsequent to the hydrolytic work-up.

No.	Name of the product	Yield $\%$
1	1 -Acetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene	34
2	$1-(Trimethylsilyl)-2,3,5,6-$	17
	tetrachlorobenzene	
3	1-Acetyl-4-(trimethylsilyl)tetra-	20
	chlorobenzene	
4	1,4-Bis(trimethylsilyl)tetra-	$\mathbf 2$
	chlorobenzene	
5	Pentachlorobenzene	trace
6	$2,3,5,6$ -Tetrachlorobenzene	trace
7	Butyltrimethylsilane	trace
8	Hexamethyldisiloxane*	$_{\mathrm{little}}$

Table 1. *The products of the reaction of chloromagnesium bia(2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyl) copper with butyllithium followed by trimethylsilylation and acetylation*

* In addition, pentachlorophenyltrimethylsilane (a little) and another compound were present in the reaction mixture. This last compound could have been 1,4-bis(acetyl)tetrachlorobenzene, but was not positively characterized.

Chloromagnesium bis(2,3,5,6-tetraehlorophenyl)eopper reacted extremely slowly with ehlorotrimethylsilane under the reaction conditions. This agrees with the previous observation that either the organoeopper reagents do not react with chlorotrimethylsilane to give silylated products in the same way as the corresponding lithium reagents do or they react very slowly indeed¹³. Thus a large part of each of the silylated products obtained in our experiment was likely to have formed from the reaction of chlorotrimethylsilane with the organolithium rather than the cuprate function of 11 (or of whatever reagent formed). On the other hand organocopper reagents react with acid halides to give ketones in high yields $13-15$ whereas, organolithium and magnesium reagents further react with the ketones produced to give the metal alcoholates¹⁶. The copper reagent 10 likewise gave, after reaction with aeetyl chloride, 1-acetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene in 57% isolated yield as mentioned before. But no such ketone was obtained when the magnesium compound 9 or its lithium analogue was reacted with acetyl chloride under our reaction conditions. This indicated that all the acetylated compounds obtained were formed by the reaction of acetyl chloride with the organocopper species only.

Since no silylated or acetylated butyl compounds were observed to have formed in any noticeable amounts, it is unlikely that the reagent, obtained by the reaction of 10 with *BuLl,* contained butyl groups in any significant extent.

The formation of almost equimolar amounts of 1-(trimethylsilyl)- $2,3,5,6$ -tetrachlorobenzene (2) and 1-acetyl-4-(trimethylsilyl)tetrachlorobenzene (3) may be explained if one assumes that the reagent produced by reacting 10 with *BuLi* has a stoichiometry shown in 11 (Scheme 2). The reaction of 12 with acetyl chloride would produce in

Scheme 2

the first instance 3 and 13. The latter reagent being less nucleophilic than 12, would be slow to react further with acetyl chloride. It could thus remain unreacted in the reaction mixture until it was finally hydrolysed to 2 during work-up.

However, the formation of one of the major products (the mechanisms of the formation of the minor products, being self-explainatory, are not discussed here) namely, 1 -acetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene (1) cannot be easily explained from the reaction of just 11 with the substrates. One explanation could be that, if formed, 11 could probably be involved in an interaggregate "higher" complex cuprate formation through the free organolithium functions of one of its clusters with the cuprate functions of the other. This "higher" cuprate was probably not very reactive towards chlorotrimethylsilane but was able to react with acetyl chloride at the periphery to produce I subsequent to hydrolysis. The other probable alternative explanation is that all of II did not react with $CIME₃Si$ under the experimental conditions perhaps because of steric reasons. The same steric reasons may be invoked to explain why 11 did not give a positive response to the *Gilman* test I. The unreacted 11 could then react with acetyl chloride to give 14 and 15 (Scheme 3). The species 14 and 15, if formed, would not probably

remain as such but would be expected to form different "ate" complexes. One of these could be 16% This new "ate" complex would not be expected to react with ehlorotrimethylsilane for the same sterie reasons as before but would react with aeetyl chloride to produce 17 and 18 among other products, These species on hydrolysis would be expected to produce 1, although 18 could react further with itself or any ketonic moiety or chlorotrimethylsilane to produce other products. The

^{*} The other important "ate" complex could be formed by the intermolecular reaction of 15 with itself and this, subsequent to reaction with acetyl chloride and then water, could also form 1.

types 17 and 18 together could also generate a new cuprate reagent which could then undergo reactions characteristic of diorganocuprates.

We further observed that the reaction of 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenylcopper rather than the corresponding *"ate"* complex with butyllithium, followed by derivatisation with (i) chlorotrimethylsilane and then with (ii) acetyl chloride, did not produce any 1 -acetyl- $2,3,5,6$ tetrachlorophenyltrimethylsilane in a glc-detectable amount. It then appears that a suitably substituted organic group of a diorgano homo cuprate can be more easily lithiated than that of the corresponding organocopper(I) compound.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Prof. *Henry Gilman* of Iowa State University for his encouragement and helpfulness and the International Seminar, University of Uppsala for providing some funds during the writing up.

Experimental Part

The reactions described were conducted in an oxygen-free dry dinitrogen atmosphere. *THF* was dried over sodium wire and further purified by distilling it from sodiumbenzophenone ketyl. Butyllithium in hexane was obtained from the Foote Mineral Co. and was used at the strength determined by the supplier. Magnesium turnings were from the Mallinekrodt Chemical Works. Anhydrous copper(I) chloride was obtained commercially and was purified⁶ prior to use.

Gle analyses were carried out on an F and M Model 500 Gas Chromatograph, using a $120 \text{ cm} \times 0.65 \text{ cm}$ column packed with 15% Silicon Gum Rubber on Chromosorb W (60-80 mesh). For quantitative glc n -docosane was used as the internal standard. For preparative glc, the same column was used. The yields were computed on the amount of $2,3,5,6$ -tetrachlorophenylmagnesium chloride formed.

Reaction of chloromagnesium bis(2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenylcopper) with butyllithium

To a filtered solution of $2,3,5,6$ -tetrachlorophenylmagnesium chloride⁷ prepared from pentachlorobenzene (0.05 mol) and magnesium (0.05 g-at) in *THF* (100 cm³) was added copper(I) chloride (0.025 mol) at 0 °C and stirred for 3h during which time the *Gilman* test I⁸ became negative. The brown homogeneous reaction mixture was cooled to -78° C and butyllithium (0.05 mol) was added slowly over a period of 1 h. The mixture was stirred for an additional two-hour period. An aliquot, withdrawn from the reaction mixture, showed a negative response to the *Gilman* test I. Trimethylchlorosilane (0.05 mol) was added and the mixture was stirred at $-78\degree$ C for 6h and at room temperature for 1 h. Acetyl chloride (0.05 mol) was then added and the mixture cooled to 0° C. After stirring at this temperature for 6 h n-docosane (2.015 g) (internal standard) was added along with 100 cm^3 of benzene and the mixture was stirred vigorously for some time. An aliquot was withdrawn, hydrolysed with aq. sodium acetate solution, and the benzene layer was examined by glc (column temperature, 300°C; injection temperature, 300°C; N₂ flow-rate, 50 cm 3 per min). The various products obtained along with their yields are shown in Table 1.

The above experiment was repeated once with approximately similar results.

The 1-acetyl-4-(trimethylsilyl)tetrachlorobenzene obtained as above was collected by preparative glc and its identity confirmed by comparing its m.p. and the ir and nmr spectra with those of an authentic sample prepared as described below. For glc comparison purposes (2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyl)trimethylsilane⁹, 1-acetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene (prepared by reacting 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyllithium first with Cu^(I) Cl and then with CH_3COCl ¹⁰ and bis(trimethylsilyl)-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene¹¹ were prepared by standard procedures.

Preparation of 1-acetyl-4- (trimethylsilyl) tetrachlorobenzene

To $2,3,5,6$ -tetrachlorophenyltrimethylsilane⁹ (0.05 mol) in *THF* (100 cm³) at -78° C was added butyllithium (0.05 mol) dropwise over 15 min. The mixture was stirred for $4 h$ and then copper(I) chloride (0.05 mol) was added all at one time. The mixture was stirred overnight at $-78\degree C$, acetyl chloride (0.05 mol) added, and the mixture stirred at -78° C for 1 h and at room temperature for 3 h. Hydrolytic work-up and purification by distillation with the help of a spinning band column gave 1-acetyl-4~trimethylsilyltetrachlorobenzene (42%) ; m.p., 51-52 °C (from methanol). Found: C40.35%, H3.52%; calc. for $C_{11}H_{12}Cl_4OSi$: C 40.00%, H 3.67%. The ir spectrum showed the characteristic bands for $C=O$ and $-SiMe₃$. The ¹H nmr spectrum showed two singlets at δ (ppm) = 2.49 and 0.52 in the integrated ratio of 1:3.

Reaction of 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenylcopper^{10b} (prepared from 1-LiC₆Cl₄H- $4^{10} + Cu^{(1)}Cl$ *with butyllithium*

To 1,2,4,5-tetrachlorobenzene (0.05 mol) in THF (100 cm³) at -78 °C was added butyllithium (0.05 mol) drop by drop with stirring over 10 min. The *Gilman* test I112 was negative within 2 h; but the *Gilman* test IS was positive. Copper (I) chloride (0.05 mol) was then added all at one time and the mixture stirred for 4 h. At this point an aliquot showed a negative response to *Gilman* test I. The reaction mixture was maintained at $-78\degree$ C during all this time. Butyllithium (0.05 mol) was added dropwise over 10 min and the mixture stirred for 3h. Chlorotrimethylsilane (0.05 mol) was then added, the reaction mixture was allowed to come to 0° C and stirred for 1h. Acetyl chloride (0.05 mol) was added and the mixture stirred for 2 h . n-Docosane in 100 cm³ of benzene was added and stirred for 0.5 h. An aliquot was examined by glc. No (1 acetyl-2,3,5,6~tetrachlorophenyl)trimethylsilane was detected to have formed at that time. The major product was 1-acetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene (60%) .

Reaction of chloromagnesium bis(2,3,5,6-tetraehlorophenyl)copper with (i) acetyl chloride and (ii) chlorotrimethylsilane

(i) With acetyl chloride: To 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenylmagnesium chloride [prepared from pentachlorobenzene (0.05 mol) and magnesium (0.05 g-at) in *THF* (100 cm³)]⁷ at 0 to -5° C was added copper(I) chloride (0.025 mol) and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. During this time *Gilman* test I became negative. Acetyl chloride (0.05 mol) was then added and the mixture stirred for an 452 M.T. Rahman: Chloromagnesium Bis(2,3,5,6-Tetraehlorophenyl)copper

additional 2 h period. Customary hydrolytic work-up followed by purification by column chromatography on silica gel gave l-aeetyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene (1) (57%), m. p. 63-64 °C (mixed m. p. with an authentic sample ^{10b} was undepressed).

(ii) *With chlorotrimelhylsilane:* To a similar preparation of ehloromagnesium bis $(2.3.5.6\text{-tetrachlorophenvl)eopper}$ (0.025 mol) chlorotrimethylsilane (0.05 mol) was added, and the mixture stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. A gle analysis of a hydrolysed aliquot indicated that only a very small amount, approximately, 0.8% of (2,3,5,6-tetraehlorophenyl)trimethylsilane (2) was formed. The major products were 1,2,4,5-tetraehlorobenzene and hexamethyldisiloxane.

Reaction of 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyllithium and -magnesium chloride with acetyl chloride

2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenyllithium was prepared on a 0.05 mol scale in THF at $-78\degree$ C by following a previously described procedure¹⁰ and reacted with 0.05 mol of acetyl chloride at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to come to 0° C and kept at that temperature with constant stirring for 2h. Hydrolytic work-up gave a white solid which was a mixture by glc and did not appear to contain a ketone (ir evidence).

Similar results were also obtained when 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenylmagnesium chloride was employed in place of the lithium reagent in the above reaction.

References

- *1 Gschwend H. W., Rodriquez H. R.,* Org. Reactn. 26, 1 (1979); *Gilman H., Morton jr., J. W.,* Org. Reaetn. 8, 258 (1954).
- *2 Julces A. E.,* Adv. Organometal. Chem. 12, 215 (1974); *Posner G. H,* Org. Reactn. 19, 1 (1972); *Normant J. F.,* Synthesis 1972, 63; *Posner G. H.,* Org. Reaetn. 22, 253 (1975).
- *3 Posner G. H,* An Introduction to Synthesis Using Organoeopper Reagents. New York: J. Wiley. 1980.
- *a Normant J. F.,* Pure Appl. Chem. 50, 709 (1978).
- *5 Tochterman W.,* Angew. Chem., Internat. Ed. 5,351 (1966); *Wittig G.,* Quart. Rev. 20, 191 (1966).
- *6 Keller R. N., Wyeoff H. D.,* Inorg. Syn. 2, 1 (1964).
- *7 Rahman* M. T., J. Organometal. Chem. 225, 25 (1982).
- *s Gilman H., Schulze* F, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 47, 2002 (1925).
- ⁹ Shiina K., Brennan T., Gilman H., J. Organometal. Chem. **11**, 471 (1968).
- 10 a) *Tamborski C., Solost:i E. J., Dills C. E.,* Chem. and Ind. 1965, 2067. b) *Webb A. F., Gilman H.,* unpublished studies.
- *l~ Shiina K, Gilman H.,* J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 88, 5367 (1966).
- *12 Gilman H., Swiss* J, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 62, 1847 (1940).
- *i3 Juice8 A. E., Dua S. S., Gilman* H., J. Organometah Chem. 21, 24t (1970).
- *14 Rahman M. T., Gilman* H., J. Indian Chem. Soc. 53, 582 (1976).
- *15 Smith jr. M. R., Rahman M. T, Gilman H.,* Organometal. Chem. Syn. l, 295 (1971).
- *16 Negishi E.-I.,* Organometallics in Organic Synthesis, Voh I, part II. New York: J. Wiley. 1980.